### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

#### From the INTERNATIONAL BUREAU



# PCT

NOTIFICATION CONCERNING TRANSMITTAL OF COPY OF INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (CHAPTER I OF THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY)

(PCT Rule 44bis.1(c))

FENSTER, Paul P. O. Box 10256 49002 Petach Tikva ISRAËL

Fenster & Company, Intellectual Property 1 10

G.E. EHRLICH (1995) LTD.

Applicant's or agent's file reference 110/05400

14 February 2008 (14.02.2008)

Date of mailing (day/month/year)

IMPORTANT NOTICE

International application No. PCT/IB2006/052612 International filing date (day/month/year) 31 July 2006 (31.07.2006)

Priority date (day/month/year) 31 July 2005 (31.07.2005)

Applicant

DISC-O-TECH MEDICAL TECHNOLOGIES, LTD. et al

The International Bureau transmits herewith a copy of the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

> The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland

Authorized officer

Cecile Chatel

Facsimile No. +41 22 338 82 70

e-mail: pt13.pct@wipo.int

# PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

# **PCT**

### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44bis)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 110/05400	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See item 4 below			
International application No. PCT/IB2006/052612					
International Patent Classification (8th edition unless older edition indicated) See relevant information in Form PCT/ISA/237					
Applicant DISC-O-TECH MEDICAL TECHNOLOGIES, LTD.					

1.	This international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) is issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the International Searching Authority under Rule 44 bis. 1(a).				
2.	This REPORT consists of a total of 12 sheets, including this cover sheet.				
	In the attached sheets, any reference to the written opinion of the International Searching Authority should be read as a reference to the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) instead.				
3.	This report contains indications relating to the following items:				
	Box No. I	Basis of the report			
	Box No. II	Priority			
	Box No. III	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability			
	Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention			
	Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement			
	Box No. VI	Certain documents cited			
	Box No. VII	Certain defects in the international application			
	Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the international application			
4.	The International Bureau will co not, except where the applicant r date (Rule 44bis .2).	mmunicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 but makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority			

	Date of issuance of this report 05 February 2008 (05.02.2008)
The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland	Authorized officer Cecile Chatel
Facsimile No. +41 22 338 82 70	e-mail: pt13.pct@wipo.int

Form PCT/IB/373 (January 2004)

# PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY To: WRITTEN OPINION OF THE see form PCT/ISA/220 INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1) Date of mailing (day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet) Applicant's or agent's file reference FOR FURTHER ACTION see form PCT/ISA/220 See paragraph 2 below International application No. International filing date (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year) PCT/IB2006/052612 31.07.2006 31.07.2005 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC INV. A61L24/06 A61L24/00 Applicant DISC-O-TECH MEDICAL TECHNOLOGIES, LTD. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Box No. 1 Basis of the opinion ☑ Box No. II Priority ☑ Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement ☐ Box No. VI Certain documents cited ☐ Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application **FURTHER ACTION** If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notifed the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. 3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220. Name and mailing address of the ISA: Authorized Officer Date of completion of this opinion European Patent Office - P.B. 5818 Patentlaage form NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays Bas FISCHER, J

PCT/ISA/210

Telephone No. +31 70 340-2440

Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016

Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 31 651 epo nl

# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/IB2006/052612

_		x No	
1.	Wit	h reg	ard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
	$\boxtimes$	the	international application in the language in which it was filed
		a tra purp	anslation of the international application into , which is the language of a translation furnished for the coses of international search (Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1 (b)).
2.	Witl nec	h reg essa	ard to any <b>nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence</b> disclosed in the international application and ry to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
	a. ty	/pe c	of material:
		□ a	a sequence listing
		⊐ t	able(s) related to the sequence listing
	b. fo	ormai	t of material:
	. [	⊐ c	on paper
	Ε	i i	n electronic form
	c. tir	ne o	f filing/furnishing:
	Ε	Э с	contained in the international application as filed.
		∃ fi	led together with the international application in electronic form.
		] fi	rnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3.		copie	ddition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional es is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as oppriate, were furnished.
4.	Addi	itiona	al comments:
	Вох	No.	II Priority
1.	'	requi	validity of the priority claim has not been considered because the International Searching Authority not have in its possession a copy of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed or, where ired, a translation of that earlier application. This opinion has nevertheless been established on the mption that the relevant date (Rules 43bis.1 and 64.1) is the claimed priority date.
2.		Has r	opinion has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim been found invalid (Rules 43 <i>bis</i> .1 and 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international date indicated above is considered to be the relevant date.
3	Addi	tiona	Lohsenvations if necessary:

# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/IB2006/052612

Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability					
	The questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non obvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of				
	the entire international application				
$\boxtimes$	claims Nos. <u>24,26-28</u>				
bed	cause:				
	the said international application, or the said claims Nos. relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international search (specify):				
	the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):				
	the claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):				
$\boxtimes$	no international search report has been established for the whole application or for said claims Nos. $\underline{24,26-28}$				
	a meaningful opinion could not be formed without the sequence listing; the applicant did not, within the prescribed time limit:				
	furnish a sequence listing on paper complying with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions, and such listing was not available to the International Searching Authority in a form and manner acceptable to it.				
	furnish a sequence listing in electronic form complying with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions, and such listing was not available to the International Searching Authority in a form and manner acceptable to it.				
	pay the required late furnishing fee for the furnishing of a sequence listing in response to an invitation under Rules 13 <i>ter</i> .1(a) or (b).				
	a meaningful opinion could not be formed without the tables related to the sequence listings; the applicant did not, within the prescribed time limit, furnish such tables in electronic form complying with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions, and such tables were not available to the International Searching Authority in a form and manner acceptable to it.				
	the tables related to the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in electronic form only, do not comply with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.				
	See Supplemental Box for further details				

	Box	x No. IV	Lack of unity of	inventio	1				
1.		☑ In response to the invitation (Form PCT/ISA/206) to pay additional fees, the applicant has, within the applicable time limit:					nin the		
			paid additional fees	3					
			paid additional fees	s under pr	otest and,	where applicab	le, the protest fee	Э	
			paid additional fees	s under pr	otest but t	he applicable pr	otest fee was no	t paid	
		$\boxtimes$	not paid additional	fees					,
2.		This Au	uthority found that the coloring that the coloring to pay addition	ne require mal fees.	ment of un	nity of invention	is not complied w	rith and chose :	not to invite
3. This Authority considers that the requirement of unity of invention in accordance with Rule 13.1, 13.2 and 1					3.2 and 13.3 is				
		complie	d with						·.
		-	plied with for the foll	lowina res	isone.				
				owing rec	130(13.				
4	See separate sheet					application			
•	Consequently, this report has been established in respect of the following parts of the international application:						аррисацоп:		
		•	· s relating to claims N	los. <u>1-23</u>					,
							·		
		( No. V ustrial a	Reasoned stater applicability; citation	nent und ons and e	er Rule 43 explanatio	B <i>bis</i> .1(a)(i) with ns supporting	regard to novel such statement	ity, inventive s	step or
1.	Stat	tement							
	Nov	relty (N)		Yes: No:	Claims Claims	<u>6-17,25</u> 1-5,18-23			
	Inv∈	entive st	ep (IS)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	<u>6-17,25</u> 1-5,18-23			
	Indu	ıstrial a <sub>l</sub>	oplicability (IA)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	<u>1-23,25</u>			

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/IB2006/052612

# Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

see separate sheet

#### Re Item IV.

The separate inventions/groups of inventions are:

#### 1-23,25

A bone cement comprising an acrylic polymer mixture, the cement characterized in that it achieves a viscosity of at least 500 Pascal-second within 180 seconds following initiation of mixing of a monomer component and a polymer component and characterized by sufficient biocompatibility to permit in-vivo use.

#### 24

A bone cement comprising: a polymer component and a monomer component wherein contacting the polymer component and the monomer component produces a mixture which attains a viscosity greater than 200 Pascal-second within 1 minute from onset of mixing and remains below 2000 Pascal-second until at least 6 minutes from onset of mixing.

#### 26-27

A particulate mixture formulated for preparation of a bone cement, the mixture comprising: (a) 60 to 80% polymer beads comprising a main sub-population characterized by an MW of 150,000 Dalton to 300,000 Dalton and a high molecular weight sub-population characterized by an MW of 3,000,000 Dalton to 4,000,000 Dalton; and (b) 20 to 40% of a material which is non-transparent with respect to X-ray.

#### 28

A method of making a polymeric bone cement, the method comprising:

- (a) defining a viscosity profile including a rapid transition to a working window characterized by a high viscosity;
- (b) selecting a polymer component and a monomer component to produce a cement conforming to the viscosity profile; and
- © mixing the polymer component and a monomer component to produce a cement which conforms to the viscosity profile.

# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/IB2006/052612

They are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept (Rule 13.1 PCT) for the following reasons:

The problem to solve by the present application is to manufacture an alternative bone cement composition characterized by a rapid transition from separate liquid monomer and powdered polymer components to a single phase characterized by a high viscosity when the components are mixed together.

The first proposed solution is a bone cement comprising an acrylic polymer mixture, the cement characterized in that it achieves a viscosity of at least 500 Pascal-second within 180 seconds following initiation of mixing of a monomer component and a polymer component and characterized by sufficient biocompatibility to permit in-vivo use.

A second proposed solution is a bone cement comprising a polymer (not only acrylic) component and a monomer component wherein contacting the polymer component and the monomer component produces a mixture which attains a high viscosity.

A third proposed solution is a particulate mixture formulated for preparation of a bone cement, the mixture comprising (a) 60 to 80% polymer (not only acrylic) beads comprising a main sub-population characterized by an MW of 150,000 Dalton to 300,000 Dalton and a high molecular weight sub-population characterized by an MW of 3,000,000 Dalton to 4,000,000 Dalton; and (b) 20 to 40% of a material which is non-transparent with respect to X-ray.

The method of making a polymeric bone cement composition is defined by mixing a selected polymer and a monomer to produce a cement which conforms to the desired viscosity profile.

The idea to use a bone cement comprising an acrylic polymer mixture comprising an acrylic polymer an a monomer and characterized by a high viscosity when the components are mixed together with substantially no intervening liquid phase is already known ( see for example D1 -D7), and cannot serve as a single general inventive concept.

In the present application no further technical feature(s) can be distinguished that can be

regarded as a special technical feature involved in the technical relationship among the different inventions. Consequently, the present application lacks unity of invention, and the different solutions not belonging to a common inventive concept are identified as the different subjects listed in the communication pursuant to Article 17(3)(a)PCT. Each of the inventions listed is a distinct invention, characterised by its own special technical feature, defining the contribution which each of the claimed inventions, considered as a whole, makes over the prior art, i.e. the specific structural features of the individual groups of compounds.

Only the first subject was searched partially (see Point III).

The attention of the Applicant is drawn to the fact that further objections as to lack of unity of the inventions may be raised if the Applicant wishes to have an International Search Report for the other groups of inventions.

#### Re Item V.

- 1 Reference is made to the following documents:
  - D1: LEWIS GLADIUS: "Properties of acrylic bone cement: State of the art review" JOURNAL OF BIOMEDICAL MATERIALS RESEARCH, vol. 38, no. 2, 1997, pages 155-182, XP002432739 ISSN: 0021-9304
  - D2: LEWIS GLADIUS: "Toward standardization of methods of determination of fracture properties of acrylic bone cement and statistical analysis of test results" JOURNAL OF BIOMEDICAL MATERIALS RESEARCH, vol. 53, no. 6, 2000, pages 748-768, XP002432740 ISSN: 0021-9304
  - D3: WO 2004/019810 A (BIOMET INC [US]; SMITH DANIEL B [US]; EPPLEY BARRY L [US]) 11 March 2004 (2004-03-11)
  - D4: WO 99/18894 A1 (PARALLAX MEDICAL INC [US]; PREISSMAN HOWARD [US]) 22 April 1999 (1999-04-22)

D5: FR 2 638 972 A1 (OSTEAL MEDICAL LABORATOIRES [FR]) 18 May 1990 (1990-05-18)

D6: WO 2004/071543 A (SYNTHES AG [CH]; SYNTHES USA [US]; BISIG ADRIAN [CH]; BOHNER MARC [CH]) 26 August 2004 (2004-08-26)

D7: EP 0 177 781 A1 (DRAENERT KLAUS) 16 April 1986 (1986-04-16)

## 2. Novelty

Document D1 discloses acrylic bone cement compositions presenting viscosity between 6 and 7800 Pascal-second within 180 seconds (table II; page 158, paragraph Viscosity). The subject-matter of D1 destroys the novelty of claims 1-5,18-23.

The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claims 1-5,18-23 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.

# 3. Inventive step

The problem to solve by the present application is to manufacture an alternative bone cement composition characterized by a rapid transition from separate liquid monomer and powdered polymer components to a single phase characterized by a high viscosity when the components are mixed together.

The proposed solution is a bone cement comprising an acrylic polymer mixture, the cement characterized in that it achieves a viscosity of at least 500 Pascal-second within 180 seconds following initiation of mixing of a monomer component and a polymer component and characterized by sufficient biocompatibility to permit in-vivo use.

Document D1, which is considered as closest prior art, discloses acrylic bone cement compositions presenting viscosity between 6 and 7800 Pascal-second within 180 seconds.

The difference between the claimed invention and the teaching of D1 appears to be a bone cement wherein the acrylic polymer is provided as a population of beads divided into

at least two sub-populations, each sub-population is characterized by an average molecular weight.

The objective problem to be solved by the present application in view of the teaching of D1, consists thus, in formulating an alternative acrylic bone cement characterized in that it achieves a viscosity of at least 500 Pascal-second within 180 seconds and comprising an acrylic polymer provided as a population of beads divided into at least two subpopulations, each sub-population is characterized by an average molecular weight.

No document of the cited prior art suggest such a specific acrylic bone cement composition.

Claims 6-17,25 are thus considered as involving an inventive step (Article 3383) PCT).

## 3. Industrial applicability

The subject-matter of claims 1-23,25 is considered industrially applicable, therefore claims 1-23,25 do meet the requirement of Article 33(4) PCT.

#### Re Item VIII

### Certain observations on the international application

The term "monomer component" used in claim 1 is vague and unclear and leaves the reader in doubt as to the meaning of the technical features to which it refers, thereby rendering the definition of the subject-matter of said claim unclear.

Present claims 1-23,25 relates to a product defined (inter alia) by reference to the following unusual "viscosity" parameter.

The use of this unusual parameter, together with the wording "monomer compound" in the present context is considered to lead to a lack of clarity because the claims do not clearly identify the products encompassed by it as the parameters cannot be clearly and reliably

# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/IB2006/052612

determined by indications in the description or by objective procedures which are usual in the art. This makes it impossible to compare the claims to the prior art. As a result, the application does not comply with the requirement of clarity under Article 6 PCT.

The lack of clarity is to such an extent, that the search was performed taking into consideration the non-compliance in determining the extent of the search of claims 1-23,25.

The search of claims 1-23,25 was restricted to the examples clearly defined in, and supported and disclosed by the description.

Should the applicant pay for additional search(es), the attention of the applicant is drawn to the fact that the wording of claims 24,26-28 is vague and unclear and leaves the reader in doubt as to the meaning of the technical features to which it refers, thereby rendering the definition of the subject-matter of said claim unclear.